



Complete Summary

GUIDELINE TITLE

Pelvic organ prolapse.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Pelvic organ prolapse. Washington (DC): American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG); 2007 Feb. 13 p. (ACOG practice bulletin; no. 79). [82 references]

GUIDELINE STATUS

Note: This guideline has been updated. The National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC) is working to update this summary.

COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT

SCOPE
METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis
RECOMMENDATIONS
EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS
BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS
QUALIFYING STATEMENTS
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE
INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT
CATEGORIES
IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY
DISCLAIMER

SCOPE

DISEASE/CONDITION(S)

Pelvic organ prolapse, including:

- Uterine cervix prolapse
- Vaginal apex prolapse
- Anterior vagina prolapse
- Posterior vagina prolapse
- Peritoneum prolapse of the cul-de-sac

GUIDELINE CATEGORY

Evaluation
Treatment

CLINICAL SPECIALTY

Obstetrics and Gynecology
Surgery
Urology

INTENDED USERS

Physicians

GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)

- To aid practitioners in making decisions about appropriate obstetric and gynecologic care
- To review current treatment options for pelvic organ prolapse

TARGET POPULATION

Women with pelvic organ prolapse

INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

Evaluation

1. Medical history
2. Valsalva maneuver
3. Cough stress test

Classification

1. Baden-Walker System
2. Pelvic Organ Prolapse Quantification system

Nonsurgical Treatment

1. Observation
2. Estrogen (not recommended)
3. Pessary
4. Symptom-directed therapy (weight loss and exercise; behavior training, splinting, laxative or enema use for evacuation; diet)
5. Kegel exercises (pelvic floor muscle training)

Surgical Treatment

1. Hysterectomy
2. Laparoscopic abdominal sacral colpopexy
3. Transvaginal suspension (sacrospinous ligament, uterosacral ligament, iliococcygeus fascia or muscle)
4. Colpocleisis (or colpectomy)
5. Hysteropexy

6. Round ligament suspension (not recommended)
7. Colporrhaphy
8. Paravaginal repair
9. Graft materials (synthetic vs. biological mesh) (cadaveric fascia specifically not recommended)
10. Anti-incontinence procedures (e.g., Burch procedure)

MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED

- Complication rate from surgical approaches
- Relapse rate
- Patient satisfaction
- Mortality

METHODOLOGY

METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Hand-searches of Published Literature (Primary Sources)
Hand-searches of Published Literature (Secondary Sources)
Searches of Electronic Databases

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

The MEDLINE database, the Cochrane Library, and American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists' own internal resources and documents were used to conduct a literature search to locate relevant articles published between January 1985 and August 2006. The search was restricted to articles published in the English language. Priority was given to articles reporting results of original research, although review articles and commentaries also were consulted. Abstracts of research presented at symposia and scientific conferences were not considered adequate for inclusion in this document. Guidelines published by organizations or institutions such as the National Institutes of Health and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists were reviewed, and additional studies were located by reviewing bibliographies of identified articles.

NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Not stated

METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Studies were reviewed and evaluated for quality according to the method outlined by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force:

I Evidence obtained from at least one properly designed randomized controlled trial.

II-1 Evidence obtained from well-designed controlled trials without randomization.

II-2 Evidence obtained from well-designed cohort or case-control analytic studies, preferably from more than one center or research group.

II-3 Evidence obtained from multiple time series with or without the intervention. Dramatic results in uncontrolled experiments also could be regarded as this type of evidence.

III Opinions of respected authorities, based on clinical experience, descriptive studies, or reports of expert committees.

METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Systematic Review

DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Expert Consensus

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Analysis of available evidence was given priority in formulating recommendations. When reliable research was not available, expert opinions from obstetrician-gynecologists were used. See also the "Rating Scheme for the Strength of Recommendations" field regarding Grade C recommendations.

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the highest level of evidence found in the data, recommendations are provided and graded according to the following categories:

Level A — Recommendations are based on good and consistent scientific evidence.

Level B — Recommendations are based on limited or inconsistent scientific evidence.

Level C — Recommendations are based primarily on consensus and expert opinion.

COST ANALYSIS

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Internal Peer Review

DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Practice Bulletins are validated by two internal clinical review panels composed of practicing obstetrician-gynecologists generalists and sub-specialists. The final guidelines are also reviewed and approved by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) Executive Board.

RECOMMENDATIONS

MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

Note: This guideline has been updated. The National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC) is working to update this summary. The recommendations that follow are based on the previous version of the guideline.

The grades of evidence (I-III) and levels of recommendation (A-C) are defined at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

The following recommendations and conclusions are based on good and consistent scientific evidence (Level A):

- The only symptom specific to prolapse is the awareness of a vaginal bulge or protrusion. For all other pelvic symptoms, resolution with prolapse treatment cannot be assumed.
- Pessaries can be fitted in most women with prolapse, regardless of prolapse stage or site of predominant prolapse.
- Cadaveric fascia should not be used as graft material for abdominal sacral colpopexy because of a substantially higher risk of recurrent prolapse than with synthetic mesh.
- Stress-continent women with positive stress test results (prolapse reduced) are at higher risk for developing postoperative stress incontinence after prolapse repair alone compared with women with negative stress test results (prolapse reduced).
- For stress-continent women planning abdominal sacral colpopexy, regardless of the results of preoperative stress testing, the addition of the Burch procedure substantially reduces the likelihood of postoperative stress incontinence without increasing urgency symptoms or obstructed voiding.
- For women with positive prolapse reduction stress test results who are planning vaginal prolapse repair, tension-free vaginal tape (TVT) midurethral sling (rather than suburethral fascial plication) appears to offer better prevention from postoperative stress incontinence.

The following recommendations and conclusions are based on limited or inconsistent scientific evidence (Level B):

- Clinicians should discuss the option of pessary use with all women who have prolapse that warrants treatment based on symptoms. In particular, pessary use should be considered before surgical intervention in women with symptomatic prolapse.
- Alternative operations for uterine preservation in women with prolapse include uterosacral or sacrospinous ligament fixation by the vaginal approach, or sacral hysteropexy by the abdominal approach.
- Hysteropexy should not be performed by using the ventral abdominal wall for support because of the high risk for recurrent prolapse, particularly enterocele.
- Round ligament suspension is not effective in treating uterine or vaginal prolapse.
- Compared with vaginal sacrospinous ligament fixation, abdominal sacral colpopexy has less apical failure and less postoperative dyspareunia and stress incontinence, but is also associated with more complications.
- Transvaginal posterior colporrhaphy is recommended over transanal repair for posterior vaginal prolapse.

The following recommendations are based primarily on consensus and expert opinion (Level C):

- Clinicians should discuss with women the potential risks and benefits in performing a prophylactic anti-incontinence procedure at the time of prolapse repair.
- Women with prolapse who are asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic can be observed at regular intervals, unless new bothersome symptoms develop.
- For women who are at high risk for complications with reconstructive procedures and who no longer desire vaginal intercourse, colpocleisis can be offered.
- Cystoscopy should be performed intraoperatively to assess for bladder or ureteral damage after all prolapse or incontinence procedures during which the bladder or ureters may be at risk of injury.

Definitions:

Grades of Evidence

I Evidence obtained from at least one properly designed randomized controlled trial.

II-1 Evidence obtained from well-designed controlled trials without randomization.

II-2 Evidence obtained from well-designed cohort or case-control analytic studies, preferably from more than one center or research group.

II-3 Evidence obtained from multiple time series with or without the intervention. Dramatic results in uncontrolled experiments also could be regarded as this type of evidence.

III Opinions of respected authorities, based on clinical experience, descriptive studies, or reports of expert committees.

Levels of Recommendation

Level A — Recommendations are based on good and consistent scientific evidence.

Level B — Recommendations are based on limited or inconsistent scientific evidence.

Level C — Recommendations are based primarily on consensus and expert opinion.

CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

None provided

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for each recommendation (see "Major Recommendations").

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

Appropriate evaluation and treatment of pelvic organ prolapse

POTENTIAL HARMS

Surgical Approaches

- Longer procedure time and longer patient recovery time for some surgical procedures
- Intra-abdominal adhesions
- Small-bowel obstruction
- Ureteral injury
- Hemorrhage, hematoma
- Buttock pain
- Recurrent prolapse
- Wound infection
- Incisional hernia
- Mesh erosion

- Postoperative dyspareunia

Poor Surgical Candidates

- In general, perioperative risk is increased in patients with concomitant medical problems. However, if surgery becomes necessary, limited data support its relative safety; morbidity occurs frequently but mortality is rare.
- Complications were more frequent in women aged 80 years and older and in women who had reconstructive rather than obliterative surgery.

Women Considering Pregnancy

Ideally, childbearing should be complete before considering surgery for prolapse to avoid the theoretical but plausible risk of recurrent prolapse after subsequent pregnancy and delivery. For women who become pregnant after prolapse repair, decisions regarding mode of delivery should be made on a case-by-case basis; evidence to guide such decisions is lacking.

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

These guidelines should not be construed as dictating an exclusive course of treatment or procedure. Variations in practice may be warranted based on the needs of the individual patient, resources, and limitations unique to the institution or type of practice.

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

An implementation strategy was not provided.

IMPLEMENTATION TOOLS

Audit Criteria/Indicators
Patient Resources

For information about [availability](#), see the "Availability of Companion Documents" and "Patient Resources" fields below.

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

IOM CARE NEED

Getting Better

IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness
Patient-centeredness

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Pelvic organ prolapse. Washington (DC): American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG); 2007 Feb. 13 p. (ACOG practice bulletin; no. 79). [82 references]

ADAPTATION

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

DATE RELEASED

2007 Feb

GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists - Medical Specialty Society

SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)

GUIDELINE COMMITTEE

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) Committee on Practice Bulletins-Gynecology

COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE

Not stated

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Not stated

GUIDELINE STATUS

Note: This guideline has been updated. The National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC) is working to update this summary.

GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY

Electronic copies: None available

Print copies: Available for purchase from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) Distribution Center, PO Box 4500, Kearneysville, WV 25430-4500; telephone, 800-762-2264, ext. 192; e-mail: sales@acog.org. The ACOG Bookstore is available online at the [ACOG Web site](#).

AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS

Proposed performance measures are included in the original guideline document.

PATIENT RESOURCES

The following is available:

- Pelvic support problems. Atlanta (GA): American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG); 2004.

Electronic copies: Available from the [American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists \(ACOG\) Web site](#).

Print copies: Available for purchase from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) Distribution Center, PO Box 4500, Kearneysville, WV 25430-4500; telephone, 800-762-2264, ext. 192; e-mail: sales@acog.org. The ACOG Bookstore is available online at the [ACOG Web site](#).

Please note: This patient information is intended to provide health professionals with information to share with their patients to help them better understand their health and their diagnosed disorders. By providing access to this patient information, it is not the intention of NGC to provide specific medical advice for particular patients. Rather we urge patients and their representatives to review this material and then to consult with a licensed health professional for evaluation of treatment options suitable for them as well as for diagnosis and answers to their personal medical questions. This patient information has been derived and prepared from a guideline for health care professionals included on NGC by the authors or publishers of that original guideline. The patient information is not reviewed by NGC to establish whether or not it accurately reflects the original guideline's content.

NGC STATUS

This NGC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on October 5, 2007. The information was verified by the guideline developer on December 3, 2007.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developer's copyright restrictions.

DISCLAIMER

NGC DISCLAIMER

The National Guideline Clearinghouse™ (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at <http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx>.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.

© 1998-2008 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 9/15/2008

